-USDC SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 8/16/2022
GABINO GENAO,	
Plaintiff,	21-CV-00301 (AT) (VF)
-against-	21-CV-00303 (AT) (VF)
CITY OF NEW YORK et al.,	OPINION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
X	

VALERIE FIGUEREDO, United States Magistrate Judge:

On July 5, 2022, Plaintiff Gabino Genao filed a Motion for the Appointment of Counsel in the above referenced actions, asserting, among other things, that such appointment is warranted because (1) Plaintiff is unable to afford counsel; (2) the issues involved in the case are complex; (3) as a "segregation inmate," Plaintiff has limited access to the law library; (4) Plaintiff has limited knowledge of the law; and (5) Plaintiff has made "numerous attempts" to obtain counsel, which have been unsuccessful. Defendants have not opposed this motion.

The factors to be considered in ruling on a motion for pro bono counsel are well settled and include "the merits of plaintiff's case, the plaintiff's ability to pay for private counsel, plaintiff's efforts to obtain a lawyer, the availability of counsel, and the plaintiff's ability to gather the facts and deal with the issues if unassisted by counsel." Cooper v. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170, 172-74 (2d Cir. 1989). Of these, "the factor which command[s] the most attention [is] the merits." Id. Indeed:

¹ For Case No. 21-cv-00301, the motion was filed at ECF No. 69, and a revised motion was filed at ECF No. 71 on July 14, 2022. For Case No. 21-cv-00303, the motion was filed at ECF No. 63, and a revised motion was filed at ECF No. 64 on July 14, 2022.

[c]ourts do not perform a useful service if they appoint a volunteer lawyer to a case which a private lawyer would not take if it were brought to his or her attention. Nor do courts perform a socially justified function when they request the services of a volunteer lawyer for a meritless case that no lawyer would take were the plaintiff not indigent.

<u>Id.</u>

Here, Plaintiff has not made a "threshold showing of some likelihood of merit." <u>Id.</u>
Accordingly, the Court denies the motion without prejudice at the current stage. The Clerk of Court is respectfully requested to close ECF Nos. 69 and 71 for Case No. 21-CV-00301, and ECF Nos. 63 and 64 for Case No. 21-CV-00303.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 16, 2022

New York, New York

VALERIE FIGUEREDO United States Magistrate Judge